arXiv:math/0504052v1 [math.AG] 4 Apr 2005

Almost set-theoretic complete intersections in characteristic zero

Margherita Barile

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4,
70125 Bari, Italy
`barile@dm.uniba.it`

Abstract: We present a class of toric varieties V which, over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, are defined by $\operatorname{codim} V+1$ binomial equations.

Introduction

The role played by toric varieties in Geometric Modelling is well-known: a comprehensive exposition on this subject is the recent tutorial delivered by Cox [C03]. On the other hand, the representation of a variety V as the intersection of the minimum number of hypersurfaces, apart from its obvious practical meaning, is an old, difficult question in Algebraic Geometry. Recall that this number (also known as the *arithmetical rank*) is always bounded below by the codimension: if equality holds, the variety is called a *set-theoretic complete intersection*. A special case occurs when the defining ideal of V itself is generated by $\operatorname{codim} V$ equations, i.e., V is a so-called *complete intersection*. The problem of finding the arithmetical rank of a given variety is open in general. The class of toric varieties, for example, includes the monomial curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$, which are always defined by two binomial equations over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic (see the papers by Moh [M85], and Thoma [Th95] for a generalization), whereas this is false in characteristic zero, with the only trivial exception of complete intersections. In all other cases, even if two defining equations may exist, their form can be very complicated, so that they mostly remain undetected. This is also true for affine curves. The problem has been recently solved for the monomial curves (t^4, t^6, t^a, t^b): one of three defining equations found by Katsabekis [K04] contains $a+1$ monomials. Fortunately, this difficulty can be overcome in many cases by giving $\operatorname{codim} V+1$ binomial defining equations for V. As was proven in [BM98], this is possible for all projective monomial curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$: the required equations are very easily derived from those defining the same curve in two different positive characteristics. A similar method will be applied in this paper to an infinite

class of affine simplicial toric varieties of codimensions greater than 2. This technique is very effective: it enables us to define the variety in Example 1 by four binomial equations, whereas its defining ideal can be generated by no less than six binomials. One further advantage comes from the fact that, in positive characteristics, the minimum number of defining binomial equations can be completely characterized (and constructed) combinatorially in terms of the semigroup attached to a toric variety: this is the main result in [BMT02], which is based on the works by Rosales and García-Sánchez [RGS95], and Fischer, Morris and Shapiro [FMS97].
A class of toric varieties defined by $\operatorname{codim} V+1$ binomial equations was already studied in [BMT00]: but this class, which includes all simplicial toric varieties of codimension 2, is disjoint with respect to the one introduced in the present paper.

1 Preliminaries

Let K be an algebraically closed field. An affine *simplicial toric variety* is a variety $V \subset K^{n+r}$ $(n, r \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ parametrized in the following way:

$$V : \begin{cases} x_1 = u_1^c \\ x_2 = u_2^c \\ \quad\vdots \\ x_n = u_n^c \\ y_1 = u_1^{a_{11}} u_2^{a_{12}} \cdots u_n^{a_{1n}} \\ \;\vdots \\ y_r = u_1^{a_{r1}} u_2^{a_{r2}} \cdots u_n^{a_{rn}} \end{cases},$$

where c is a positive integer, and, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $(a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It has codimension r.
Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. There is a subset T of $\mathbb{N}^n$ attached to V, namely

$$T = \{\mathbf{v}_1 = c\mathbf{e}_1,\ \mathbf{v}_n = c\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{w}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a^{1i}\mathbf{e}_i, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_r = \sum_{i=1}^n a^{ri}\mathbf{e}_i\}.$$

The polynomials in the defining ideal $I(V)$ of V are the linear combinations of binomials

$$B^{\alpha_1^+ \cdots \alpha_n^+ \beta_1^+ \cdots \beta_r^+}_{\alpha_1^- \cdots \alpha_n^- \beta_1^- \cdots \beta_r^-} = x_1^{\alpha_1^+} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n^+} y_1^{\beta_1^+} \cdots y_r^{\beta_r^+} - x_1^{\alpha_1^-} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n^-} y_1^{\beta_1^-} \cdots y_r^{\beta_r^-}$$

with $\alpha_i^+, \alpha_i^-, \beta_i^+, \beta_i^- \in \mathbb{N}$ (not all zero) such that

$$\alpha_1^+\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n^+\mathbf{v}_n + \beta_1^+\mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + \beta_r^+\mathbf{w}_r = \alpha_1^-\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n^-\mathbf{v}_n + \beta_1^-\mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + \beta_r^-\mathbf{w}_r \quad (*)$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of binomials in $I(V)$ and the set of semigroup relations $(*)$ between the elements of T.
Recall that V is a *complete intersection* if $I(V)$ is generated by r polynomials (equivalently, r binomials). Moreover, V is called a *set-theoretic complete intersection* under the weaker condition that V is defined by a system of r equations. If these equations can be chosen to be binomial, we shall say that V is a set-theoretic complete intersection *on binomials*. The latter property can be completely characterized as follows.

Theorem 1. ([BMT02], Theorem 1) *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}\, K = 0$. *Then* V *is a set-theoretic complete intersections on binomials if and only if* V *is a complete intersection.*

The criterion for positive characteristics is much less restrictive, and can be formulated in terms of a combinatorial property of the set T:

Theorem 2. ([BMT02], Theorem 5) *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}\, K = p > 0$. *Then* V *is a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials if and only if* T *is completely* p*-glued.*

The latter notion is based on the following two definitions, both quoted from [BMT02], pp. 1894–1895.

Definition 1. Let p be a prime number and let T_1 and T_2 be non-empty subsets of T such that $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ and $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$. Then T is called a *p-gluing* of T_1 and T_2 if there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonzero element $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\mathbb{Z}T_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}T_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}$ and $p^\alpha \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}T_1 \cap \mathbb{N}T_2$.

Definition 2. An affine semigroup $\mathbb{N}T$ is called *completely p-glued* if T is the p-gluing of T_1 and T_2, where each of the semigroups $\mathbb{N}T_1, \mathbb{N}T_2$ is completely p-glued or a free abelian semigroup.

The notion of p-gluing is derived from the following one, which is due to Rosales [R97]:

Definition 3. Let T_1 and T_2 be non-empty subsets of T such that $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ and $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$. Then T is called a *gluing* of T_1 and T_2 if there is a nonzero element $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}T_1 \cap \mathbb{N}T_2$ such that $\mathbb{Z}T_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}T_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}$.

Of course, Definition 3 gives rise to a notion of *completely glued* affine semigroup, analogous with the one given in Definition 2. Evidently, if $\mathbb{N}T$ is completely glued, then it is completely p-glued for all primes p. Thus, according to Theorem 2, V is a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials in every positive characteristic. In fact, Rosales and García-Sánchez [RGS95] and also Fischer, Morris and Shapiro [FMS97] proved a stronger result:

Theorem 3. *If* $\mathbb{N}T$ *is completely glued, then* V *is a complete intersection in every characteristic.*

In the sequel, under the assumption of Theorem 3, we shall call $I\!NT$ a *complete intersection semigroup.*

2 Complete intersections

We first give a class of complete intersection semigroups.

Lemma 1. *Let* $c, d \in I\!N^*$. *Suppose that* $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. *For all* $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ *let*

$$T^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)} = \{\mathbf{v}_1 = c\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n = c\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{w}_{i_1} = d(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_n), \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{i_k} = d(\mathbf{e}_{i_k}+\mathbf{e}_n)\}.$$

Then $I\!NT^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}$ *is a complete intersection semigroup.*

Proof .-Let $m = \mathrm{lcm}\,(c, d)$. We prove that, for all $h = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$Z\!\!\!ZT^{(i_1,\ldots,i_{h-1})} \cap Z\!\!\!Z\mathbf{w}_{i_h} = Z\!\!\!Z\frac{m}{d}\mathbf{w}_{i_h}. \tag{1}$$

Here we are adopting the following convention: for $h = 1$ we set $T^{(i_1,\ldots,i_{h-1})} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$. Note that this set generates a free abelian semigroup. Equality (1) implies the claim, since

$$m(\mathbf{e}_{i_h} + \mathbf{e}_n) = \frac{m}{c}c\mathbf{e}_{i_h} + \frac{m}{c}c\mathbf{e}_n,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{m}{d}\mathbf{w}_{i_h} = \frac{m}{c}\mathbf{v}_{i_h} + \frac{m}{c}\mathbf{v}_n \in I\!NT^{(i_1,\ldots,i_{h-1})}. \tag{2}$$

To show (1) it suffices to prove that inclusion $\subset$ holds. Let $\mathbf{w} \in Z\!\!\!ZT^{(i_1,\ldots,i_{h-1})} \cap Z\!\!\!Z\mathbf{w}_{i_h}$. Then

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} \mu_j \mathbf{w}_{i_j} = \lambda \mathbf{w}_{i_h} \tag{3}$$

for some $\lambda_j, \mu_j, \lambda \in Z\!\!\!Z$. We equate the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_{i_h}$ in (3); note that $\mathbf{e}_{i_h}$ does not appear in the second sum. Thus we obtain:

$$\lambda_{i_h} c = \lambda d,$$

so that $m|\lambda d$, i.e., $\frac{m}{d}d|\lambda d$. It follows that $\frac{m}{d}|\lambda$, whence $\mathbf{w} \in Z\!\!\!Z\frac{m}{d}\mathbf{w}_{i_h}$, as was to be shown.$\diamondsuit$

Remark 1. As a consequence of Lemma 1, the variety corresponding to $T^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}$

$$V^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)} : \begin{cases} x_1 = u_1^c \\ \quad\vdots \\ x_n = u_n^c \\ y_{i_1} = u_{i_1}^d u_n^d \\ \quad\vdots \\ y_{i_k} = u_{i_k}^d u_n^d \end{cases}$$

of codimension k, is a complete intersection. Moreover, $\mathbb{N}T$ is what Rosales and García-Sánchez call a *free affine semigroup.* The same notion was extensively studied in [BMT01]. According to [RGS99], Corollary 1.9, the $I(V^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)})$ is generated by the following binomials, which are derived from the semigroup relations (2), for $h = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$\begin{cases} F_{i_1} = y_{i_1}^{\frac{m}{d}} - x_{i_1}^{\frac{m}{c}} x_n^{\frac{m}{c}} \\ \qquad\vdots \\ F_{i_k} = y_{i_k}^{\frac{m}{d}} - x_{i_k}^{\frac{m}{c}} x_n^{\frac{m}{c}} \end{cases} \tag{$**$}$$

Let V be the toric variety corresponding to $T = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$. The binomials $(**)$ belong to a set of minimal generators of $I(V)$. Furthermore,

$$I(V^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}) = I(V) \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_k}].$$

3 Set-theoretic complete intersections in positive characteristics

The class of simplicial toric varieties which are set-theoretic complete intersections in all positive characteristics is, in fact, much larger than the one of complete intersections. For all $i = 1, \ldots, r$ let $\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{w}_i = \{j \mid a_{ij} \neq 0\}$. We know that the following holds:

Proposition 1. ([BMT02], Example 1) *Suppose that, up to a permutation of indices,*

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{w}_1 \subset \operatorname{supp} \mathbf{w}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \operatorname{supp} \mathbf{w}_r.$$

Then $\mathbb{N}T$ is completely p-glued for all primes p.

The above condition on supports is fulfilled, in particular, when all exponents a_{ij} are non zero. This case was treated in [BMT00]. Theorem 2 implies that, under the assumption of Proposition 1, in every positive characteristic, V is a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials. This, however, is not always true in characteristic zero.

We now present a new class of toric varieties which are set-theoretic complete intersections in every positive characteristic, but not in characteristic zero.

In characteristic zero they, however, are *almost* set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials, i.e., they are defined by $r+1$ binomial equations. We shall give infinitely many examples in every codimension $r \geq 3$, none of which fulfills the condition on supports contained in Proposition 1.
Let $n \geq 3$ and let $f, g \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be coprime such that

$$g < f, \quad (n-1)f \leq ng \tag{a}$$

As it can be easily checked, the second inequality implies

$$f < 2g \tag{b}$$

and

$$(n-2)f < (n-1)g \tag{c}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{v}_1 = fg\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n = fg\mathbf{e}_n \\
&\mathbf{w}_1 = (f-g)(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_n), \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{n-1} = (f-g)(\mathbf{e}_{n-1} + \mathbf{e}_n) \\
&\mathbf{w}_n = g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i + g((n-1)g - (n-2)f)\mathbf{e}_n
\end{aligned}$$

These are elements of $\mathbf{N}^n$ by virtue of (a) and (c).

Remark 2. Note that the assumption of Proposition 1 would always be fulfilled if here we took $n = 2$. On the other hand, we already know from [BMT00], Theorem 3, that every simplicial toric variety of codimension 2 is an almost set-theoretic complete intersection in every characteristic.

Theorem 4. *Let*

$$T = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}, \mathbf{w}_n\}.$$

Then the semigroup $\mathbb{N}T$ is completely p-glued with respect to every prime p.

Proof .-Let $T_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{n-1}\}$, $T_2 = \{\mathbf{w}_n\}$. Since, by Lemma 1, $\mathbb{N}T_1$ is a complete intersection semigroup, it suffices to show that T is the p-gluing of T_1 and T_2 for all primes p. We first show that

$$\mathbb{Z}T_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}T_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}_n, \tag{4}$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbf{w}_n \in \mathbb{Z}T_1$. This is true because

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{v}_i - g\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{w}_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} fg\mathbf{e}_i - g\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(f-g)(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_n) \\
&= g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i + (fg - (n-1)g(f-g))\mathbf{e}_n \\
&= g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i + g((n-1)g - (n-2)f)\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{w}_n
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Next we prove that

$$f\mathbf{w}_n, g\mathbf{w}_n \in I\!NT_1 \cap I\!NT_2. \tag{6}$$

It holds

$$\begin{aligned} g\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}_i + ((n-1)g-(n-2)f)\mathbf{v}_n &= g\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} fg\mathbf{e}_i + ((n-1)g-(n-2)f)fg\mathbf{e}_n \\ &= f(g^2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{e}_i + g((n-1)g-(n-2)f)\mathbf{e}_n) \\ &= f\mathbf{w}_n \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(2g-f)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}_i + (ng-(n-1)f)\mathbf{v}_n + g(f-g)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{w}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}((2g-f)fg + g(f-g)^2)\mathbf{e}_i + ((ng-(n-1)f)fg + (n-1)g(f-g)^2)\mathbf{e}_n \\ &= (2fg^2 - f^2g + f^2g - 2fg^2 + g^3)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{e}_i \\ &\qquad + (nfg^2 - (n-1)f^2g + (n-1)f^2g - 2(n-1)fg^2 + (n-1)g^3)\mathbf{e}_n \\ &= g(g^2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{e}_i + g((n-1)g-(n-2)f)\mathbf{e}_n) = g\mathbf{w}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note that the coefficients of $\mathbf{v}_i$ and $\mathbf{w}_i$ on the left-hand side of (8) are all nonnegative integers: this follows from (a) and (b). Equalities (7) and (8) prove (6).

Let p be a prime. Since f, g are coprime, there are $\alpha, s, t \in I\!N$ such that

$$p^\alpha = sf + tg. \tag{9}$$

From (6) and (9) it follows that

$$p^\alpha\mathbf{w}_n = sf\mathbf{w}_n + tg\mathbf{w}_n \in I\!NT_1 \cap I\!NT_2 \tag{10}$$

Equalities (4) and (10) imply that T is the p-gluing of T_1 and T_2, and this holds for all primes p. This completes the proof.$\diamondsuit$

Replacing (7) and (8) in (10) we get the semigroup relation:

$$\begin{aligned} p^\alpha\mathbf{w}_n &= (sg + t(2g-f))\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}_i \\ &\qquad + [s((n-1)g-(n-2)f) + t(ng-(n-1)f)]\mathbf{v}_n + tg(f-g)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{w}_i \end{aligned}$$

$$= [(s+2t)g-ft]\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{v}_i + [((n-1)s+nt)g-((n-2)s+(n-1)t)f]\mathbf{v}_n$$
$$+tg(f-g)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{w}_i \tag{11}$$

Consider the following toric variety, of codimension n, attached to T:

$$V:\begin{cases} x_1 = u_1^{fg} \\ \vdots \\ x_n = u_n^{fg} \\ y_1 = u_1^{f-g}u_n^{f-g} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} = u_{n-1}^{f-g}u_n^{f-g} \\ y_n = u_1^{g^2}\cdots u_{n-1}^{g^2}u_n^{g((n-1)g-(n-2)f)} \end{cases}$$

Theorems 2 and 4 imply:

Corollary 1. *If* $\mathrm{char}\,K = p$*,* V *is a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials.*

Remark 3. According to the proof of [BMT02], Theorem 5, V is defined by the following n binomials:

$F_1 = y_1^{fg} - x_1^{f-g}x_n^{f-g}$
$\vdots$
$F_{n-1}y_{n-1}^{fg} - x_{n-1}^{f-g}x_n^{f-g}$
$F_{n,p} = y_n^{p^\alpha} -$
$x_1^{(s+2t)g-ft}\cdots x_{n-1}^{(s+2t)g-ft}x_n^{((n-1)s+nt)g-((n-2)s+(n-1)t)f}y_1^{tg(f-g)}\cdots y_{n-1}^{tg(f-g)}$

Here $F_1,\dots,F_{n-1}$ are the binomials $(**)$ for $k=n-1$, $c=fg$ and $d=f-g$: note that, being f and g coprime, so are c and d, whence $m=cd$. Binomial $F_{n,p}$ (the only one which depends on p) is derived from semigroup relation (11).
The binomials $F_1,\dots,F_{n-1},F_{n,p}$, however, are not a system of generators for $I(V)$.

In fact:

Proposition 2. V *is not a complete intersection.*

Proof .-We have to prove that $I(V)$ is not generated by n binomials. According to Remark 1, $F_1,\dots,F_{n-1}$ belong to every minimal system of binomial generators of $I(V)$. Moreover, $I(V)$ contains a binomial which is monic in the variable y_n. Therefore, among the minimal binomial generators of $I(V)$ there is necessarily a binomial

$$F_n = y_n^e - x_1^{h_1} \cdots x_n^{h_n} y_1^{k_1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \quad (e, h_1, \ldots, h_n, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in I\!\!N),$$

where

$$e\mathbf{w}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i \mathbf{w}_i. \tag{12}$$

We show that $e > 1$. First suppose that $f - g > 1$. Equating the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_1$ on both sides of (12) we get

$$eg^2 = h_1 fg + k_1(f - g).$$

If $h_1 = 0$, then $f - g|e$, if $k_1 = 0$, then $f|e$, so that in both cases $e > 1$ (recall that $f > 1$ by virtue of (a)). Otherwise the right-hand side of (12) is greater than or equal to $fg + f - g$, and, by (a),

$$g^2 < fg < fg + f - g,$$

so that necessarily $e > 1$. Now assume that $f = g + 1$, and suppose for a contradiction that $e = 1$. Then (12) takes the following form:

$$g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i + g(g-n+2)\mathbf{e}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (h_i g(g+1) + k_i)\mathbf{e}_i + (h_n g(g+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i)\mathbf{e}_n. \tag*{(12)$'$}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots \mathbf{e}_{n-1}$ on both sides we immediately conclude that $h_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence $k_i = g^2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. But then equating the coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_n$ on both sides of (12)$'$ yields $g(g-n+2) = (n-1)g^2$, i.e., $-(n-2) = (n-2)g$, whence (being $g > 0$) $n = 2$, against our assumption on n. This completes the proof that $e > 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g\mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{w}_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(f-g)(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_n) + g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i + g((n-1)g - (n-2)f)\mathbf{e}_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} fg\mathbf{e}_i + ((n-1)(fg - g^2) + (n-1)g^2 - (n-2)fg)\mathbf{e}_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} fg\mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{v}_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G = y_1^g \cdots y_{n-1}^g y_n - x_1 \cdots x_n \in I(V),$$

but $G \notin (F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_n)$, because the exponent of each y_i in G is smaller than the one in F_i. This suffices to conclude.$\diamondsuit$

By virtue of Theorem 1 it follows that

Corollary 2. *If char $K = 0$, V is not a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials.*

Remark 4. The set T is minimal with respect to the property of Proposition 2, in the sense that the sets obtained from T by omitting some $\mathbf{w}_i$ all generate complete intersection semigroups. We have already seen in Lemma 1 that the semigroups generated by $T^{(i_1,\dots,i_k)}$ ($k \leq n-1$) are all complete intersections. It is easy to check that the same holds for the semigroup generated by $T^{(i_1,\dots,i_k)} \cup \{\mathbf{w}_n\}$: it suffices to verify that this set is the gluing of $T^{(i_1,\dots,i_k)}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_n\}$, which is true because

$$\mathbb{Z}T^{(i_1,\dots,i_k)} \cap \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{w}_n = \mathbb{Z}f\mathbf{w}_n, \quad \text{where} \quad f\mathbf{w}_n \in \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots \mathbf{v}_n\} \subset \mathbb{N}T^{(i_1,\dots,i_k)}.$$

4 Almost set-theoretic complete intersections in characteristic zero

In this section we show that the variety V introduced above is defined by $r+1$ binomials in all characteristics. This needs some preparation. Let $F = M - N$, where $M, N \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ are (monic) monomials. For all $h \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we set

$$F^{(h)} = M^h - N^h.$$

The following recursive relation holds:

$$F^{(h+1)} = MF^{(h)} + FN^h. \tag{13}$$

It allows us to show, by a trivial induction argument, that, for all $h \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$F^{(h)} \in (F). \tag{14}$$

We can now prove that with respect to the notation introduced in Remark 3, the following holds:

Theorem 5. *Let p and q be different primes. Then*

$$V = V(F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}, F_{n,p}, F_{n,q}).$$

Proof .-Evidently it suffices to prove $\supset$. Recall that $F_{n,p} = y_n^{p^\alpha} - E_p$, and $F_{n,q} = y_n^{q^\beta} - E_q$, where $E_p, E_q \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$ are monomials. Let $\mathbf{u} \in K^{2n}$ be such that

$$F_i(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ and } F_{n,p}(\mathbf{u}) = F_{n,q}(\mathbf{u}) = 0. \tag{15}$$

We show that every binomial belonging to $I(V)$ vanishes in $\mathbf{u}$. Let $F = M - N \in I(V)$, where M and N are monomials. Let $M = y_n^s M'$ and $N = y_n^t N'$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $M', N' \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(p^\alpha)} &= y_n^{sp^\alpha} M'^{p^\alpha} - y_n^{tp^\alpha} N'^{p^\alpha} \\ &= (F_{n,p}^{(s)} M'^{p^\alpha} - F_{n,p}^{(t)} N'^{p^\alpha}) + (E_p^s M'^{p^\alpha} - E_p^t N'^{p^\alpha}) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By virtue of (14), the left-hand side and the first term in brackets belong to $I(V)$. It follows that the second term in brackets belongs to $I(V) \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}]$, hence it belongs to $(F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1})$: this follows from Remark 1 for $i_1 = 1, \ldots, i_{n-1} = n-1$. If we apply (14), once again, to $F_{n,p}$, from (16) we deduce that

$$F^{(p^\alpha)} \in (F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_{n,p}).$$

Similarly,

$$F^{(q^\beta)} \in (F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_{n,q}).$$

Hence, by (15), $F^{(p^\alpha)}(\mathbf{u}) = F^{(q^\beta)}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$M^{p^\alpha}(\mathbf{u}) = N^{p^\alpha}(\mathbf{u}) \tag{17}$$

$$M^{q^\beta}(\mathbf{u}) = N^{q^\beta}(\mathbf{u}) \tag{18}$$

We show that $M(\mathbf{u}) = N(\mathbf{u})$. This certainly follows from (17) and (18) if $M(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ or $N(\mathbf{u}) = 0$, so suppose that $M(\mathbf{u}) \neq 0$ and $N(\mathbf{u}) \neq 0$. Since p^α and q^β are coprime, there are $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\lambda p^\alpha + \mu q^\beta = 1,$$

so that, finally, in view of (17) and (18)

$$M(\mathbf{u}) = M^{\lambda p^\alpha + \mu q^\beta}(\mathbf{u}) = N^{\lambda p^\alpha + \mu q^\beta}(\mathbf{u}) = N(\mathbf{u}).$$

Hence $F(\mathbf{u}) = 0$, as was to be proved. ♢

Example 1. Take $f = 3$, $g = 2$, and $n = 3$. The corresponding toric variety is

$$V : \begin{cases} x_1 = u_1^6 \\ x_2 = u_2^6 \\ x_3 = u_3^6 \\ y_1 = u_1 u_3 \\ y_2 = u_2 u_3 \\ y_3 = u_1^4 u_2^4 u_3^2 \end{cases}$$

We have

$$F_1 = y_1^6 - x_1 x_3, \; F_2 = y_2^6 - x_2 x_3.$$

We also compute the binomial $F_{3,p}$ for $p = 2$ and $q = 3$:

$$F_{3,2} = y_3^2 - x_1 x_2 y_1^2 y_2^2, \; F_{3,3} = y_3^3 - x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3.$$

Suppose that $\operatorname{char} K = 0$. According to Theorem 5, V is an almost set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials, since it is defined by

$$F_1 = F_2 = F_{3,2} = F_{3,3} = 0.$$

It is far from being a complete intersection (and thus a set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials), since $I(V)$ is minimally generated by the following 6 binomials:

$$y_1^6 - x_1x_3, \quad y_2^6 - x_2x_3, \quad y_3^2 - x_1x_2y_1^2y_2^2,$$
$$y_1^4y_2^4 - x_3y_3, \quad y_1^2y_3 - x_1y_2^4, \quad y_2^2y_3 - x_2y_1^4.$$

References

BM98. Barile, M., Morales, M.: On the equations defining projective monomial curves. Comm. Algebra, **26**, 1907–1912 (1998)

BMT00. Barile, M., Morales, M., Thoma, A.: On Simplicial Toric Varieties Which Are Set-Theoretic Complete Intersections. J. Algebra, **226**, 880–8 (2000)

BMT01. Barile, M., Morales, M., Thoma, A.: On free complete intersections. In: Herzog, J., Restuccia, G. (eds) Geometric and Combinatorial Aspects of Commutative Algebra. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **217**. Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel (2001)

BMT02. Barile, M., Morales, M., Thoma, A.: Set-Theoretic Complete Intersections on Binomials. Proc. Amer. Soc. , **130**, 1893–1903 (2002)

C03. Cox, D.: What is a Toric Variety?. Lecture Notes, Workshop on Algebraic Geometry and Geometric Modeling, Vilnius, Lithuania (2003)

FMS97. Fisher, K., Morris, W., Shapiro, J.: Affine Semigroup rings that are complete intersections. Proc. Amer. Soc. **125**, 3137–3145 (1997)

K04. Katsabekis, A.: Projection of cones and the arithmetical rank of toric varieties. Preprint (2004)

M85. Moh, T.T.: Set-theoretic complete intersections. Proc. Amer. Soc. **94**, 217–220 (1985)

R97. Rosales, J.C.: On Presentations of Subsemigroups of $\mathbb{N}^n$. Semigroup Forum, **55**, 152–159 (1997)

RGS95. Rosales, J.C., García-Sánchez, P.A.: On complete intersection affine semigroups. Comm. Algebra, **23**, 5395–5412 (1995)

RGS99. Rosales, J.C., García-Sánchez, P.A.: On Free Affine Semigroups. Semigroup Forum **58**, 367–358 (1999)

Th95. Thoma, A.: On the set-theoretic complete intersection problem for monomial curves in A^n and P^n. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **104**, 333–344 (1995)